

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/759,854	01/12/2001	Christopher Ngai	A4467/T3500	1861
32588	7590 12/19/2003 `	E)		AMINER
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. 2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061			BREWSTER, WILLIAM M	
SANTA CLARA, CA 95050			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/759,854	NGAI ET AL.				
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William M. Brewster	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second content of the content o	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period statu	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension priginally set in the final Office action: or				
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	e later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final rejection, even if				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR</li> </ol>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
0. Other:	Mil	avid Coleman				
		ary Examiner				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): §103(a) of claims 1-13 and 15-17. Applicant argues that Singh fails to explicitly disclose the use of nitrogen in forming FSG. Examiner concedes that Singh does not explicitly disclose nitrogen in the FSG, but does use it in the formation. Dependent claim 13, details that the nitrogen can be as little as about 0.03 at%. With such a low percentage, a contamination or diffusion aspect of nitrogen is taught by Singh. Applicant further criticizes the prior art of record for not teaching the application's benefits of NFSG. Examiner notes that of all the improvements cited by the applicant in the specification, examiner has not located any counterpart limitations in the rejected claims.

Examiner must give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation, MPEP §2111, "During patent examination, the pending claims must be 'given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification.' Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified, In re Pratter, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969), In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997)." Also see In re Zletz, 13 USPQ 2d. 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

For the above reasons, the rejection is deemed proper.